

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/051,609	01/17/2002	Brian Lauman	DI-5764 (112713-146)	1135
29200 7	7590 06/06/2005		EXAM	INER
BAXTER HE	EALTHCARE CORPORA	HAYES, MICHAEL J		
RENAL DIVIS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DF3-3E DEERFIELD, IL 60015			3763	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		. (1)
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/051,609	LAUMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael J. Hayes	3763
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	1 March 2005.	
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 3	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-55</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>37-52</u> is/are without		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	arawn nom consideration.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36 and 53-55</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 25 March 2002 is/a	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obj	ected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	теction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority 	ents have been received. ents have been received in A	pplication No
application from the International Bu	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)	4\	Summary (PTO-413)
() [X] Notice of References Cited (P10-692) P) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB	/08) 5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	_

Application/Control Number: 10/051,609

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

The finality of the restriction requirement made in paper mailed 12/7/04 is removed and claims 8-12, 14-17, and 23-26 are not withdrawn. Claims 37-52 remain withdrawn as drawn to a method of heating dialysis fluid.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the elements. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted elements are: those elements that cause different fluid velocities. The recitation of different volumes does not cause different fluid velocities in itself and it appears that there are other essential elements not recited that cause the fluid velocities to be different.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3763

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 4, 7, 8-12, 13, 14-26, 27, 28, 29, 54, 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JORDAN (US Patent No. 5,875,282) or PINS (US Patent No. 2,475,590) in view of KONISHI (US Publication No. 2002/0096984), GORDON (US Patent No. 6,261,261), or HICKERSON et al. (US Publication No. 2003/0004470). Jordan and Pins disclose multiple heaters. Replacing one of the heaters with another infrared emitter heater disclosed in the prior art would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to make use of the known advantages of prior art heaters. The use of fine control over the heaters disclosed in Jordan to include power to one or both of the heaters would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in order to vary heating from minimal to maximum available heating. Jordan suggests varying the heating from a minimum (i.e., one heater) to a maximum (i.e., both heaters). One of ordinary skill in the art would know to varying power to the heaters appropriately to obtain the desired heating.

Jordan and Pins discloses a fluid heater including a radiant heater, plate heater, and controller capable of heating 125 ml/min 5-37 degrees C or 2 liters 10-37 degrees C in 13 minutes.

Jordan or Pins disclose the claimed invention except for a reflector. Gordon teaches the use of a reflector to achieve efficient heating (2:45-49). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the teachings of Gordon in the invention of Jordan or Pins to quickly heat fluid.

Claims 1-3, 5-7, 13, 18, 19, 27-36, 53, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over KAMIYAMA et al. (US Patent No. 5,271,086). Kamiyama discloses a fluid heater including a radiant infrared heater, secondary heater, controller, and temperature sensor connected to a pump. The device is capable of connecting to a catheter or tubing. Kamiyama discloses placing the heating elements in series to accomplish heating (5:18-40). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have the controllers for the various elements in series to be arranged to heat at the same time or at different times depending on the heating requirements of the fluid. One of ordinary skill in the art would know to power one or several heaters to accomplish the desired heating of heaters in series. The rearrangement of parts would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art because such rearrangement is within the skill level of one of ordinary skill to achieve various control over heating.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-36, 53-55 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Hayes at (703) 305-5873. The examiner can usually be reached Monday -Thursday, 7:00-4:30, and on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the

Application/Control Number: 10/051,609

Art Unit: 3763

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nick Lucchesi, can be contacted at (703) 308-2698. The fax number for submitting official papers is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mjh 31 May 2005

> MICHAEL J. HAYES PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5